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## Background

- Hypertension (HTN) is one of the most common non-communicable diseases (NCDs) of premature morbidity and mortality. ${ }^{1}$
- The global burden of hypertension was $31.1 \%$ of adults (1.39 billion) in 2010 which would be projected $60 \%$ of adults (1.56 billion) in $2025 .{ }^{2}$
- The overall prevalence of hypertension among the adult people in Bangladesh was reported $26.4 \%$ to $40.7 \% \%$ in some recent surveys. ${ }^{3,4,5}$


## Objectives

As there is scarcity of epidemiological data regarding hypertension among the rural people in the southern part of Bangladesh, the study has been designed to find out the frequency and risk factors stratification of hypertension among the rural people in Jashore, Bangladesh.

## Methodology

- Study design
- Place of study
- Study population
- Study Period
- Sample size
- Sampling method
- Institution Approval :Civil Surgeon Office, Jashore, Bangladesh.
:Patients above 18 years attending as outpatients
:National Hypertensive Week, 2019.
:1812 participants above 18 years.
:Non-probability purposive sampling.


## Selection criteria

## Inclusion criteria:

The eligible patients above 18 years aged attended during national hypertension week, 2019 in Bagherpara and Keshobpur upazila (sub-district) health complex, Jashore, Bangladesh

## Exclusion Criteria:

$\checkmark$ Patients incapacitate to give written consent
$\checkmark$ Mentally ill patients
$\checkmark$ Chronic disabled patient

## Analysis

- Preformed structured data collection sheets were used in every selected case.
- Informed written consent was taken from every subjects.
- Analysis carried out using SPSS version 23.
- Categorical data was grouped as \% and numbers and mean with standard deviation measured from continuous data.
- 2020 International Society of Hypertension Global Hypertension Practice Guidelines had been demonstrated to classify hypertension. ${ }^{6}$
- Chi-square test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) used to extract $p$ value and Logistic Regression Analysis employed to evaluate risk factors analysis among different groups.


## Results

Frequency; n (\%) of Hypertension Status

| Normo-tensive | High Normal BP | Grade I <br> HTN | Grade II <br> HTN | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1275(70.4 \%)$ | $163(9.0 \%)$ | $286(15.8 \%)$ | $88(4.8 \%)$ |  |

## Age Distribution

| Age Distribution |  | Hypertensive Status |  |  |  |  |  |  | Normo- <br> tensive | High <br> Normal BP | Grade I <br> HTN | Grade II <br> HTN |  | P-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age in years; mean $\pm$ SD | $40 \pm 16$ | $45 \pm 17$ | $49 \pm 15$ | $51 \pm 15$ | $42 \pm 16$ | $<0.001^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Groups in years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $<30$ | $348(19.2)$ | $29(1.6)$ | $27(1.5)$ | $3(0.2)$ | $407(22.5)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30-39$ | $336(18.5)$ | $36(2.0)$ | $55(3.0)$ | $15(0.8)$ | $442(24.4)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-49$ | $231(12.7)$ | $31(1.7)$ | $55(3.0)$ | $22(1.2)$ | $339(18.7)$ | $<0.001^{\text {s }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50-59$ | $166(9.2)$ | $26(1.4)$ | $66(3.6)$ | $22(1.2)$ | $280(15.5)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 60$ | $194(9.2)$ | $41(2.3)$ | $83(4.6)$ | $26(1.4)$ | $344(19.0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Significant difference observed in age among different Hypertensive groups. Normotensive group were younger than hypertensive groups.

## Age Distribution



## Gender distribution and BMI of study cases

| Gender and BMI | Hypertensive Status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | P-value

Significantly females were more hypertensive than male. Hypertensive subjects had significantly high BMI.

## BMI



## Occupation of study subjects

|  | Hypertensive Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | Normo- <br> tensive | High <br> Normal BP | Grade I <br> HTN | Grade II <br> HTN | Total | P-value |
|  | Student | $96(5.3)$ | $6(0.3)$ | $6(0.3)$ | $0(0.0)$ | $108(6.0)$ |
| Housewife | $748(41.3)$ | $83(4.6)$ | $161(8.9)$ | $51(2.8)$ | $1043(57.6)$ |  |
| Business | $75(4.1)$ | $16(0.9)$ | $30(1.7)$ | $8(0.4)$ | $129(7.1)$ | $<0.001^{\text {s }}$ |
| Service | $156(8.6)$ | $24(1.3)$ | $27(1.5)$ | $12(0.7)$ | $219(12.1)$ |  |
| Farmer | $200(11.0)$ | $34(1.9)$ | $62(3.4)$ | $17(0.9)$ | $313(17.3)$ |  |

Housewife group had significantly high incidence of hypertension.

## Risk factors

| Risk factors | Hypertensive Status |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | P-value

## Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk Factors

| Hypertensive subjects | $\beta$ | Std. <br> Error | df | Sig. | Exp( $\beta$ )/OR | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Intercept | 1.564 | . 391 | 1 | . 000 |  |  |  |
| <30 | -. 805 | . 214 | 1 | . 000 | . 447 | . 294 | . 680 |
| 30-39 | -. 635 | . 181 | 1 | . 000 | . 530 | . 372 | . 755 |
| 40-49 | -. 392 | . 180 | 1 | . 029 | . 675 | . 475 | . 961 |
| 50-59 | -. 134 | . 180 | 1 | . 459 | . 875 | . 614 | 1.246 |
| $\geq 60$ | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Female | -. 364 | . 241 | 1 | . 130 | . 695 | . 434 | 1.114 |
| Male | $0^{\text {b }}$ | . | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Current Smoker | . 152 | . 232 | 1 | . 512 | 1.165 | . 739 | 1.837 |
| Ex-smoker | . 229 | . 241 | 1 | . 342 | 1.257 | . 784 | 2.015 |
| Non-smoker | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk Factors

| Hypertensive subjects | $\beta$ | Std. Error |  | Sig. | $\text { Exp( } \mathbf{O R}) /$ | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| No Family history of Hypertension | -. 232 | . 120 |  | 1.052 | . 793 | . 627 | 1.002 |
| Positive Family history of Hypertension | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| No Past History of Hypertension | -1.223 | . 135 |  | 1.000 | . 294 | . 226 | . 383 |
| Past History of Hypertension | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Non-Diabetic | -. 258 | . 186 |  | 1.165 | . 773 | . 536 | 1.112 |
| Diabetic | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Don't do regular physical activity for at least 30 minutes daily | . 072 | . 174 |  | 1.677 | 1.075 | . 765 | 1.511 |
| Do regular physical activity for at least 30 minutes daily | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Logistic Regression Analysis of Risk Factors

| Hypertensive subjects | $\beta$ | Std. <br> Erro <br> r | df | Sig. | $\operatorname{Exp}(\beta) / \mathrm{OR}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| No additional salt in food | . 552 | . 135 | 1 | . 000 | 1.737 | 1.334 | 2.262 |
| Additional salt in food | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Farmer | -. 522 | . 380 | 1 | . 170 | . 593 | . 282 | 1.250 |
| House wife | -. 105 | . 236 | 1 | . 656 | . 900 | . 567 | 1.430 |
| Businessman | . 046 | . 238 | 1 | . 846 | 1.047 | . 657 | 1.670 |
| Service | -. 278 | . 213 | 1 | . 191 | . 757 | . 499 | 1.149 |
| Student | $0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight | 1.187 | . 312 | 1 | . 000 | . 305 | . 166 | . 562 |
| Obese | -. 860 | . 270 | 1 | . 001 | . 423 | . 249 | . 718 |
| Overweight | -. 497 | . 279 | 1 | . 076 | . 609 | . 352 | 1.052 |
| Normal | $0^{\text {b }}$ |  | 0 |  | . |  |  |

## Limitation of this study

- This is a cross-sectional type of observational study in small area.
- Biochemical variables have not been estimated here.


## Take Home Messages

- High frequency of hypertension have been found among the rural population
- Age, sex, BMI, occupation, positive family, diabetes mellitus, physical inactivity, additional salt and smoking are the significant risk factors of hypertension in our study.
- Policy makers should pay attention to the rural population.
- We also recommend a larger scale nationwide study of hypertension in Bangladesh.
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